

An appeal

- by -

Independent and Electric Controls Ltd. ("IEC")

- of a Determination issued by -

The Director of Employment Standards (the "Director")

pursuant to Section 112 of the Employment Standards Act R.S.B.C. 1996, C.113 (as amended)

TRIBUNAL MEMBER: David B. Stevenson

FILE No.: 2010A/134

DATE OF DECISION:

November 12, 2010





DECISION

on behalf of the Director of Employment Standards

SUBMISSIONS

Hans Suhr

OVERVIEW

- ^{1.} This decision completes an appeal filed by Independent Electric and Controls Ltd. ("IEC") of a Determination issued by a delegate of the Director of Employment Standards (the "Director") on May 28, 2010.
- ^{2.} The Determination was issued on a complaint filed by Allen M. Gault ("Gault") and found that IEC had contravened Part 3, section 17 and Part 7, section 58 of the *Act* and ordered IEC to pay Gault an amount of \$2,204.94, an amount which included wages and interest.
- ^{3.} The Director also imposed an administrative penalty on IEC under Section 29(1) of the *Employment Standards Regulation* (the "*Regulation*") in the amount of \$1,000.00.
- ^{4.} In BC EST # D105/10 (the "original decision"), I found the Director had erred in calculating the annual vacation pay owed to Gault and referred the Determination back to the Director to make necessary changes in that calculation.
- ^{5.} The Director has reviewed the matter and has issued a supplement to the Determination, dated October 1, 2010, indicating the Determination should be varied to show Gault is owed annual vacation pay in the amount of \$1,393.36, plus interest in the amount of \$21.38. The Tribunal has requested submissions from the parties on the vacation pay calculation set out in the supplement, but neither has responded.
- ^{6.} I accept the calculation in the supplement accords with the original decision and with the provisions of the *Act*. When the administrative penalty is added to the vacation pay calculation, the total amount of the Determination is \$2,414.74.
- ^{7.} IEC has deposited an amount with the Director. The Director has suggested how this deposit may be distributed. The method of distribution of the deposit seems appropriate; I make no order at this time concerning distribution and leave that matter to the Director.

ORDER

^{8.} Pursuant to Section 115 of the *Act*, I order the Determination dated May 28, 2010, be varied to show the amount of the Determination as \$2,414.74, together with any interest that has accrued under section 88 of the *Act*.

David B. Stevenson Member Employment Standards Tribunal