BC EST #D285/98

EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS TRIBUNAL

In the matter of an appeal pursuant to Section 112 of the Employment Standards Act R.S.B.C. 1996, C. 113

- by -

Gurbir S. Puri a.k.a. Gary S. Puri, a Director/Officer of Associated Professional Insurance Services Inc. ("Puri")

- of a Determination issued by -

The Director Of Employment Standards (the "Director")

ADJUDICATOR:

1

David Stevenson

FILE No.: 98/250

DATE OF **D**ECISION: July 2, 1998

DECISION

OVERVIEW

This is an appeal pursuant to Section 112 of the *Employment Standards Act* (the "*Act*") by Gurbir S. Puri a.k.a. Gary S. Puri, a Director/Officer of Associated Professional Insurance Services Inc. ("Puri") of a Determination which was issued on April 3, 1998 by a delegate of the Director of Employment Standards (the "Director"). In that Determination the Director, acting pursuant to Section 96 of the *Act*, found Puri liable for unpaid wages to former employees of Associated Professional Insurance Services Inc. in an amount of \$8687.44.

ISSUE TO BE DECIDED

The issue is simply whether Puri has demonstrated the Director made any error in reaching the conclusion that he was liable, pursuant to Section 96 of the *Act*, for wages owing to former employees of Associated Professional Insurance Services Inc.

FACTS

There is no dispute relating to the facts of this appeal. I adopt the facts contained in the Determination:

A Corporate Determination issued May 2, 1997 for \$18.549.39 against Associated Professional Insurance Services Inc., was appealed and later confirmed as payable in full. A portion of the total dollars claimed against the corporation was held in trust, and has since been paid out to the former employees noted.

The amount claimed as payable by Puri were earned between late October, 1995 and late January, 1996. Puri was a Director/Officer of the corporation during that period. In his reasons for appeal, Puri does not deny he was a director or officer of the corporation. His appeal is based on his resignation as a director of the corporation and the transfer of all shares, assets and liabilities of the corporation to Kumikker S. Rangi. It is alleged these events took place in January, 1997.

ANALYSIS

The relevant provision of the *Act* is subsection 96(1), which reads:

96. (1) A person who was a director or officer of a corporation at the time wages of an employee of the corporation were earned or should have been paid is personally liable for up to 2 months' unpaid wages for each employee.

Subsection (2) sets out exceptions to the liability established in the above subsection, none of which apply in this case.

The subsection is clear. The liability created by the subsection is imposed on those persons who were directors or officers of the corporation *"at the time wages . . . were earned or should have been paid"*. Puri has not challenged the conclusion he was a director or officer at the time wages were earned or should have been paid.

BC EST #D285/98

The appeal is without merit and is dismissed.

ORDER

Pursuant to Section 115 of the Act, I order the Determination dated April 3, 1998 be confirmed.

David Stevenson Adjudicator Employment Standards Tribunal